



**Minutes of the meeting of Stoke Climsland Parish Council held in
the Parish Hall at 7.30pm on Monday, 17th October 2022**

Minute		Action
Present	Cllrs B Lightfoot: Chair, D Crawley, S Cazaly, J Forbes, M Howlett, R Davies and S Ross. Also present 9 members of the public.	
85/23 Apologies	Cornwall Councillor A Parsons and Cllrs N Cooper, G L' Arbalestier and C Roper .	
86/23 Cllr Declarations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a Disclosable pecuniary interest - None b Non-registerable interest – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. BL declared an interest in 12c as a member of the WI b. BL and MH declared an interest in 12 d as Trustees of SCPHCIO c Declaration of gifts - None d Applications for dispensation –None 	
87/23 Minutes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minutes of the meeting of 26th September were proposed as a correct record by RD, seconded SC, with an amendment to 78/23 2 “ ...Richard and Caroline Vulliamy as members of Tamar Valley Orchards Volunteer Group.” Agreed 4-0 with 3 abstention. 2. Matters Arising The Landmark Tree could be planted between the Play Equipment and the Jubilee Trees. Protection fencing may be needed to protect it. 	
88/23 Public Session	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A member of the public stated that he would be prepared to answer any questions re the Planning Application PA22/08184. 2. A member of the public thanked the PC for declaring a Climate Emergency, and all the work done so far by the PC, both as Cllrs and individuals. 	
89/23 Planning	<p>Applications for comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. PA22/08184 Holwell House Conversion to Private Use. After Debate, MH proposed Support, seconded by DC; Agreed unanimously b. PA22/07890 Vailima Erection of garden Room. After debate, MH proposed Support, seconded DC; Agreed unanimously c. PA22/07891 Vailima Listed Building Consent After debate, MH proposed Support, seconded DC; Agreed unanimously <p>Decisions – none.</p>	Clerk
90/23 Cornwall Cllr Parsons Report	Cornwall Cllr Parsons had sent a report when he sent apologies, Appendix 2. It was noted that the proposals for the new Community Network areas would result in a loss of Localism, and that the present system worked well. The Clerk was asked to respond to the consultation, stating the Council’s view. Cllrs were urged to respond as individuals.	Clerk
91/23 Highways	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The meeting re the Unsuitable for HGV sign will be held on 18th October. b. The Highway Steward should be asked to trim the hedges and bushes at Monks Cross to improve the visibility of the new signage. 	DC & BL Clerk
92/23 Correspondence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Contribution to Funding of Launceston Leisure Centre. RD proposed that £100 is allocated, and a letter of support written, in support of the initiative of Coronation Park Trust to keep Launceston Leisure Centre open. This was seconded by DC, Agreed unanimously. b. Email from A Guy The letter and draft response had been shared. Cllr Cazaly proposed that the response be sent as drafted, seconded Cllr Davies, Agreed unanimously c. Report from Facilities Group This was noted, and potential actions deferred to next meeting. 	Clerk Clerk
93/23 Finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Approval of the Payments Schedule for October (appendix 1) was proposed by SR seconded by SC and Agreed unanimously. b. MH will scrutinise finance in November c. Cllrs were urged to consider the draft budget before the next meeting 	MH All

94/23 Governance	<p>a. BL reiterated the need for meeting discipline.</p> <p>b. Standing Order Section 1 was deferred to the next meeting.</p>	
95/23 Work Plan	<p>1 Play Areas Working Group</p> <p>a. DC offered to repair the damaged seat on the Green.</p> <p>b. RD stated that the group had not met. The Locum Clerk stated that it was his duty to note that these swings were not sited where they could be overlooked, and that the PC had a duty to ensure Public Protection. The permanent clerk should provide the evidence for removal on her return.</p> <p>2 Village Parking</p> <p>The Stoke Climsland Sports and Social Club had responded that parking on the sports field would not be permitted. SC stated that all residents of the village should be consulted re options, to include Grassmat. RD stated that EV charging should be factored into any future usage. SC will report to the next meeting.</p> <p>3 Business Plan and MTFS</p> <p>Defer to next meeting</p> <p>4 Defibrillators</p> <p>Cllrs were uncertain how many defibrillators they were responsible for, and were satisfied with the current providers. The decision was deferred until the next meeting.</p> <p>5 Carbon Zero Working Group</p> <p>SC proposed that the PC endorse the aims and objectives of the Home Retrofit Service, and agreed to submission of a funding bid, Seconded RD, Agreed unanimously.</p> <p>6 Grit bins report.</p> <p>This was noted. Clerk to request that the Tutwell bin is filled.</p>	<p>DC</p> <p>Assets Working Group</p> <p>SC Finance Working Group</p> <p>Clerk</p>
96/23 Community Engagement	<p>a. <u>Council Surgery</u> SR attended on 5th October unaccompanied. It was stated that at least 2 Cllrs, or 1 Cllr and the Clerk should be present, or the meeting cancelled, to provide mutual protection. 2 members of the public had attended to reiterate previous concerns, 1 of whom had been confrontational. BL volunteered for November Surgery</p> <p>b. <u>Engagement re footpaths</u> was deferred to the next meeting.</p> <p>SR took the chair for 12 c and 12 d, nem con. BL left the room.</p> <p>c MH proposed that the WI be allowed to use the Green, seconded RD Agreed unanimously.</p> <p>Cllrs Lightfoot and Howlett left the room.</p> <p>4 SC proposed a letter of support for the SCPHCIO project for refurbishment, etc, of the Parish Hall, seconded DC, Agreed unanimously. SC proposed payment of £150 per annum towards the cost of provision of toilets open to the public, once opened, seconded DC. Agreed, 4 – 0, 1 abstention.</p>	
97/23 Field gate	<p>SC proposed that SR could keep the Field Gate, subject to a donation of £20 to Parish Council funds. Seconded MH and Agreed, 6-0 with Cllr Ross absent.</p>	
98/23 Remembrance Service	<p>Cllr Lightfoot will represent the Council.</p>	BL
99/23 Items for next Agenda	<p>Potential for EV charging.</p> <p>Protection for the Darley Oak Landmark Tree</p>	
100/23 Date of next Meeting	<p>The next meeting will be held on Monday, 21st November at 7.30pm in the Parish Hall</p>	
	<p>The meeting closed at 9.59pm</p>	

Appendix 1

Payments schedule October 2022

with the CAPs to start meeting in May 2023, subject to alignment with any wider changes to governance in Cornwall.

1.4 The paper is in two sections:

- The main paper seeks views on the following issues, and explains the case for change:

Proposed “function” (role) of the Community Area Partnerships

(a) Vision (Section 3)

(b) Objectives (Section 4)

(c) Specific roles (Section 5)

Proposed “form” of the Community Area Partnerships (geography and governance)

(d) Draft geography (Section 6)

(e) Broad governance model (Section 7)

- Appendices setting out further details:

Appendix 1: Detailed schedule of specific proposed roles for the Community Area Partnerships

Appendix 2: Draft geography: maps **[being prepared; main paper lists the proposals by area]**

Appendix 3: Further background information

1.5 Community Network Panels are asked to discuss the proposals and feedback their views by 18 November 2022 via their Community Link Officer. We will be circulating this paper to Cornwall Councillors, Town & Parish Councils and other partners within each community network so they can feed their views into their Community Network Panel’s discussion.

2. Community Area Partnerships: The case for change

2.1 The reasons the Council is proposing to introduce new, improved area partnerships are:

1. Cornwall’s area partnerships need to be better empowered and equipped so they can take tangible action and drive change on the issues that matter to local communities, whether these are specific to their local areas, or common across several areas, or the whole of Cornwall.
2. The Council has an ambitious business plan^[1] focused on driving forward the key priorities for Cornwall and its communities. It needs to work more effectively in place with partners and communities to deliver these priorities and the Government’s levelling up missions^[2]. The area partnerships need to be at the heart of this, with a critical role in helping to progress the opportunities and address the challenges in their places, both through their own action and in holding the Council and its fellow service providers to account. It is essential that they are strong enough to fulfil this role effectively. *(As highlighted in the introduction, the Community Network Review is a key element of a wider programme to transform how the Council works in place. You can read more about this in Appendix 3).*
3. There is a particular need to strengthen how the Council and its partners improve their connectivity with communities, supporting people to help each other to start, live and age well. Again, our area partnerships have an essential role both in helping to build connectivity within communities; and facilitating and advising on Council and partner engagement in their areas.
4. Cornwall is facing significant, ongoing resource challenges. This includes the reduction in the number of Cornwall Councillors, and pressures on Council and partner staff and budgetary resources in the context of the global financial situation. We need an area partnership structure that can sustainably and effectively be supported from within our shared resources.
5. Change provides an opportunity to build on the successes and strengths of the Community Network Panels and improve their consistency of approach. However, it is not practicable sustainably and

effectively to support 19 separate partnership areas through our shared resources in a way that will enable them to meet all the challenges outlined above.

6. Cornwall needs the area partnerships to be the best they can be for the communities they serve and all the partners who give their considerable and invaluable time and energy to taking part in their meetings and contributing to their work. This is a journey the Council started with the Community Networks, is determined to continue with this review, and will need to maintain as a continuous improvement process to meet current and future challenges.

Community Area Partnership Area Proposals/Options	Draft proposed area comprises <i>(CN = Community Network Area)</i>
A: Areas on which CN Chairs reached a consensus:	
CAP 1. "Bude and Camelford CAP"	Bude CN AND Camelford CN
CAP 2. "Launceston and Caradon CAP"	Launceston CN AND Caradon CN
CAP 3. "Liskeard, Looe and Cornwall Gateway CAP"	Liskeard & Looe CN AND Cornwall Gateway CN
CAP 4. "Bodmin, Wadebridge & Padstow CAP"	Bodmin CN AND Wadebridge & Padstow CN <i>(subject to resolving whether St Wenn Parish should be in CAP4 or 5)</i>
CAP 5. "Newquay, St Columb, St Agnes & Perranporth CAP"	Newquay & St Columb CN AND St Agnes & Perranporth CN <i>(see above question re St Wenn Parish)</i>
CAP 6. "Truro & Roseland CAP"	Truro & Roseland CN (PLUS St Goran Parish MINUS Grampound with Creed Parish)
CAP 7. "Falmouth & Penryn CAP"	Falmouth & Penryn CNP
B: Areas where CN Chairs put forward different options:	
8 and 9: The "South Restormel" area	
Option 1 - Majority view CAP 8 "St Austell, Mevagissey, St Blazey Fowey & Lostwithiel CAP" AND CAP 9 "China Clay CAP"	CAP 8: St Austell & Mevagissey CN AND St Blazey Fowey & Lostwithiel CN (MINUS St Goran Parish PLUS Grampound with Creed Parish) CAP 9: China Clay CNP <i>Resolve whether Luxulyan Parish should be in CAP8 or 9.</i>
Option 2 (Alternative option) CAP 8 "St Austell & Mevagissey CAP" AND CAP 9 "China Clay and St Blazey Fowey & Lostwithiel CAP" <i>Chairs who drew this option also noted merits of Option 1</i>	CAP 8: St Austell & Mevagissey CN (MINUS St Goran Parish PLUS Grampound with Creed Parish) CAP 9: China Clay CN AND St Blazey, Fowey & Lostwithiel CN
10,11,12. West Cornwall and Camborne Pool Illogan & Redruth area	
Option 1 CAP 10 "Camborne Pool Illogan Redruth & Mining Villages CAP" AND CAP 11. "Hayle, Helston, South Kerrier CAP" AND CAP 12. "West Penwith & St Ives CAP"	CAP 10: Camborne Pool Illogan Redruth & Mining Villages CN CAP 11: Helston & South Kerrier CN PLUS Hayle, St Erth and Gwinear-Gwithian Parishes CAP 12: West Penwith CN PLUS St Ives and Towednack Parishes
Option 2 CAP 10. "Camborne Pool Illogan Redruth, Mining Villages and Gwinear-Gwithian CAP" AND CAP 11. "Helston & South Kerrier CAP" AND CAP 12. "West Penwith & Hayle & St Ives CAP"	CAP 10: Camborne Pool Illogan Redruth & Mining Villages CN PLUS Gwinear-Gwithian Parish CAP 11: Helston & South Kerrier CNP CAP 12: West Penwith AND Hayle & St Ives CAP MINUS Gwinear-Gwithian Parish

Information Classification: PUBLIC

Investment Zones

As you will be aware The Chancellor has issued his Growth Plan which can be found here [The Growth Plan 2022: documents - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/102122/growth-plan-2022.pdf)

The Plan sets out the benefits of having an Investment Zone (IZ) and that Cornwall Council is in earlier stage conversations about having an IZ in Cornwall. They are exploring this because of the range of tax incentives and planning freedoms could have the potential to accelerate the amount of investment, jobs and opportunities for our businesses and communities. The Council say they are particularly pleased to see Cornwall Airport Newquay, Falmouth Docks and Langarth Development as indicative locations as this

could benefit emerging high value clusters such as Aerospace and Space and help establish Cornwall as a hub for the deployment of Floating Offshore Wind in the Celtic Sea. The Government will deliver Investment Zones in partnership with Upper Tier Local Authorities and Mayoral Combined Authorities. So those areas which have a Mayor will quite likely receive the most funding so I suspect this will still be on the cards for Cornwall!

This is all against a back drop of the Deputy Leader at Cornwall Council announcing they already have a £62m black hole in the budget for next year, it's scary times and it's obvious there will be further council tax rises and even deeper cuts to the budget ahead.

Following on from the disappointing news that the 76 bus service from Launceston to Bodmin was being removed the full effects of the budgetary pressures became apparent. Cornwall Council applied for £77m from the Government to continue subsidising the bus network over the next three years through the Department of Transport but in return have only received £13m which has left a massive shortfall. Inevitably more bus routes throughout Cornwall will have to be cut, so I urge people where possible to use these services as with time only the most viable routes will be maintained. We now face the real prospect of rural communities being isolated with no public transport to provide connectivity to the nearest towns losing access to services which are depended on. Following the start of the new school term I have been contacted by a number of concerned parents regarding changes to the bus timetables, with arguably some of the worst rerouting I have seen in my time as a Cornwall Councillor.

I have even seen examples in two cases where children have been told the service they used last year has changed and were given passes for bus stops which would involve walking along the A30 to get to them. I find these situations incomprehensible and immediately took the matter up with the Cornwall Council Transport department. (Thankfully these issues have just been resolved over the last few days)

I have also received a number of complaints regarding the Callywith College bus service, due to the busses not running to time, students having to stand, or sit on steps during their journeys on the busy A30 due to overcrowding.

This has been as a direct result of the Council scrapping the 76 bus service which ran from Bodmin to Launceston. This gave the students another option during busy days and gave them the opportunity to come home earlier in if they didn't have a full day of lessons.

Also, this has now left those commuting from Launceston with extremely limited options to use public transport. East Cornwall in general is not benefiting from the travel anywhere for £5 a day in Cornwall as many of our services up this end of the Duchy aren't eligible

Shared Prosperity Fund

Opportunities to apply for SPF funding have been open since start of August. At our first review point, a number of interesting and exciting projects have been received across all activity areas currently offering support. However, in advance of the next review point, 2nd December 2022, we are asking members to support and encourage applications specifically from areas of Cornwall where we have received fewer applications such as South East, St Austell and Newquay and North Cornwall and against activities where the number of projects has been slightly lower than anticipated. Funding can be applied for by businesses, community organisations, public bodies and partnerships which can deliver positive and sustainable outcomes for Cornwall and the Isles of Scilly

To secure the funding they must show their projects can drive 'good growth' in the region such as creating more skilled jobs, delivering greener and more inclusive work opportunities and investing in communities and places.

Advice and support to applicants will be made available through the Good Growth team hosted by Cornwall Council, to help potential applicants make their funding bids.

There are four funding categories for projects that continue to be open for projects with the next review point taking place on the 2 December 2022 (the ones in bold are the ones where we have had slightly fewer applications than anticipated, although we have had lots of enquiries which would indicate a healthy pipeline):

- Strategic Business, Enterprise and Research and Development Infrastructure (£18.7m) – site-specific funding to accelerate workspace and infrastructure projects that will enable business growth.
- **Town, Rural and Coastal High Street Development and Skills Programme (£4.4m) – funding for businesses, property owners (public/private/third sector), developers and skills providers in towns, rural and coastal communities and on the Isles of Scilly to regenerate high streets and neighbourhood shopping areas.**
- **Cultural Events and Talent Programme (£4.4m) – activities to engage communities, promote growth and wider participation and create sustainable jobs by generating more year-round, permanent, higher paid employment, by using our cultural product to reduce the seasonal nature of the tourist sector.**
- Culture / Heritage-led Regeneration and Skills (£10.82m) – investment in the creative economy, cultural institutions and heritage buildings and assets that regenerate places and communities, drives pride in place and supports development of skills, sustainability and social mobility.

Further funding opportunities and support programmes specifically aimed at community level will be launched later this autumn. This includes a Community Capacity and Feasibility programme to provide support for communities to develop solutions to address their levelling up needs and a Community Area Partnership Levelling Programme to deliver projects to drive good growth.

We will be prioritising support through the Community Capacity and Feasibility programme where we have had less uptake (North and SE Cornwall) to ensure that there is awareness of funding opportunities. We would particularly welcome members representing divisions in those parts of Cornwall encouraging applicant organisations to get in touch with the team with their project ideas.

To get a copy of the application form required to access funding support, applicants need to submit an enquiry on the website. The team will then be in contact with the necessary documentation to complete to apply. [Shared Prosperity Fund enquiry form](#)

There will also be further opportunities for applications in 2023/24.

Part of the government's levelling up agenda, the SPF plan will invest in three priorities of business, communities and skills, tackling inequalities in deprived areas.

A key focus will be to speed up the growth of high value and wage sectors such as renewable energy, technology, metals, digital and creative industries.

Support and advice direct to businesses continues to be available through the [CloS Growth Hub](#).

The Cornwall Fire and Rescue Critical Control Centre

The council is proposing to relocate The Cornwall Fire and Rescue Critical Control Centre, which went before Cornwall Council's Neighbourhoods Overview and Scrutiny Committee last Thursday, 6 October 2022.

This service is far more than just a call centre. They manage the incident, mobilise the crews, manage and support the crews, stay on the line, and provide support to the caller. They also manage the CCTV Monitoring Contract,

CORSERV Care Lifeline and CORMAC's out of hours service, and if lost then Cornwall Council would still have to fund these vital services. I also feel in times of emergency you can't substitute local knowledge, I feel relocating this center to somewhere like Yorkshire would be totally inappropriate!

Cornwall Council must fund the service properly to ensure that the residents, and visitors to the county, receive a service that is fit for the 21st Century, and that includes fire control.

It was decided a more detailed business case would be brought back to the committee to make recommendations. Of note there were about 40 fire fighters in attendance in the gallery.

[\[1\] Council Strategy and Business Plan - Cornwall Council](#)

[\[2\] Levelling Up Missions: Government unveils levelling up plan that will transform UK - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#). A table setting out Cornwall performance against the 12 missions is set out at paragraph 2.2 of the attached report: [Shared Prosperity Fund - Cornwall and Isles of Scilly Good Growth SPF Investment Plan - Report.pdf](#)